

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/458,014	Applicant(s) DUMAS ET AL.
	Examiner YONG CHONG	Art Unit 1627
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>135</u>	
(1) <u>YONG CHONG</u> (2) <u>Mr. Richard Traverso</u>	(3) _____. (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>08 July 2011</u>	Time: <u>4:15 pm</u>	
<p>Type of Interview:</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) </p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If Yes, provide a brief description: _____</p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed:</p> <p>Claims discussed:</p> <p>Prior art documents discussed:</p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</p> <p><i>Attorney was called in order to resolve all the outstanding issues after the Board decision rendered on 5/10/11. Specifically,</i></p> <p>1) <i>Applicant must cancel withdrawn claim 53, drawn to a composition.</i></p> <p>2) <i>Applicant must amend claims 1-4, 8, 28, 30, 38, 44-45, 50-51, 55, 58 to reflect the elected subject matter in the Restriction Requirements filed on 2/8/01, 11/4/03, 8/24/05, and 5/10/07.</i></p> <p>3) <i>Applicant must file a Terminal Disclaimer to address the outstanding obviousness double patenting rejections over Application No. 10/361,858 (now US Patent 7,838,541); Application No. 10/788,426; Application No. 10/848,567; Application No. 11/932,548; and Application No. 12/181,032.</i></p> <p><i>Attorney would get in touch in Applicant and get back to the Examiner.</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. </p>		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> /Yong S. Chong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1627 </div> <div> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>		